

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/025,175	12/19/2001		Dan Albeck	COGN-007	6350	
7590 07/26/2005				EXAMINER		
Gary M. Nath			LEWIS, DAVID LEE			
1030 15 th Street, N.W. 6th Floor				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20005				2673		
				DATE MAILED: 07/26/200:	DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/025,175	ALBECK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David L. Lewis	2673	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	David L. Lewis	2673							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	te of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be sent filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set it	JULIU 37 CFR 41.37(	a).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for									
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
· ·	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	Illowable if submitted in a separate	-	_						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-49</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.						
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
196hel									
	BIPI	N SHALWALA							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims require a further search and consideration.